

Dynamically Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking and Chiral Dynamic Effective Field Theory

Yue-Liang Wu

2005. 06. 18 ITP, Beijing, CAS (CAS)

References:

Dynamically Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking and Chiral Dynamic Effective Field Theory

Yue-Liang Wu

2005. 06. 18 ITP, Beijing, CAS (CAS)

References:

- Y.B. Dai & Y.L.Wu, Eur. Phys. J. C 2004
(DOI) 10.1140/epjcd/s2004-01-001-3, hep-ph/0304075.

Dynamically Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking and Chiral Dynamic Effective Field Theory

Yue-Liang Wu

2005. 06. 18 ITP, Beijing, CAS (CAS)

References:

- Y.B. Dai & Y.L.Wu, Eur. Phys. J. C 2004
(DOI) 10.1140/epjcd/s2004-01-001-3, hep-ph/0304075.
- Y.L.Wu, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A18 (2003) 5363-5419;

Dynamically Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking and Chiral Dynamic Effective Field Theory

Yue-Liang Wu

2005. 06. 18 ITP, Beijing, CAS (CAS)

References:

- Y.B. Dai & Y.L.Wu, Eur. Phys. J. C 2004
(DOI) 10.1140/epjcd/s2004-01-001-3, hep-ph/0304075.
- Y.L.Wu, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A18 (2003) 5363-5419;
- Y.L.Wu, Mod. Phys. Lett. A (2004), hep-th/0311082

Contents

- INTRODUCTION

Contents

- INTRODUCTION
- SYMMETRY-PRESERVING LOOP REGULARIZATION

Contents

- INTRODUCTION
- SYMMETRY-PRESERVING LOOP REGULARIZATION
- LOW ENERGY DYNAMICS OF QCD

Contents

- INTRODUCTION
- SYMMETRY-PRESERVING LOOP REGULARIZATION
- LOW ENERGY DYNAMICS OF QCD
- DYNAMICALLY SPONTANEOUS SYMMETRY BREAKING

Contents

- INTRODUCTION
- SYMMETRY-PRESERVING LOOP REGULARIZATION
- LOW ENERGY DYNAMICS OF QCD
- DYNAMICALLY SPONTANEOUS SYMMETRY BREAKING
- SCALARS AS LIGHTEST COMPOSITE HIGGS BOSONS

Contents

- INTRODUCTION
- SYMMETRY-PRESERVING LOOP REGULARIZATION
- LOW ENERGY DYNAMICS OF QCD
- DYNAMICALLY SPONTANEOUS SYMMETRY BREAKING
- SCALARS AS LIGHTEST COMPOSITE HIGGS BOSONS
- 31 PREDICTIONS FOR MASS SPECTRUM AND SCALES

Contents

- INTRODUCTION
- SYMMETRY-PRESERVING LOOP REGULARIZATION
- LOW ENERGY DYNAMICS OF QCD
- DYNAMICALLY SPONTANEOUS SYMMETRY BREAKING
- SCALARS AS LIGHTEST COMPOSITE HIGGS BOSONS
- 31 PREDICTIONS FOR MASS SPECTRUM AND SCALES
- CONCLUSIONS & REMARKS

Introduction

Symmetry and QFTs are the Basic Foundation in Physics

- **All known basic forces of nature, i.e., gravitational, electromagnetic, weak and strong forces, are governed by the symmetries:**
 $U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_c \times SO(1, 3) \times GL(4, \mathbf{R})$

Introduction

Symmetry and QFTs are the Basic Foundation in Physics

- **All known basic forces of nature, i.e., gravitational, electromagnetic, weak and strong forces, are governed by the symmetries:**
 $U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_c \times SO(1, 3) \times GL(4, \mathbf{R})$
- **Quantum field theories (QFTs) have successfully been applied to treat the underlying theories of elementary particles and also deal with effective theories for composite particles at low energies as well as critical phenomena (or phase transitions) in statistical mechanics and condensed matter physics.**

Introduction

Symmetry and QFTs are the Basic Foundation in Physics

- **All known basic forces of nature, i.e., gravitational, electromagnetic, weak and strong forces, are governed by the symmetries:**
 $U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_c \times SO(1, 3) \times GL(4, \mathbf{R})$
- **Quantum field theories (QFTs) have successfully been applied to treat the underlying theories of elementary particles and also deal with effective theories for composite particles at low energies as well as critical phenomena (or phase transitions) in statistical mechanics and condensed matter physics.**
- **An important issue for making QFTs to be physically meaningful is the elimination of ultraviolet (UV) divergences without spoiling symmetries of the original theory.**

Real World Prefers the Symmetry Breaking Phase

- In nature: C (Charge), P (parity), T (time), CP all are violated

Real World Prefers the Symmetry Breaking Phase

- In nature: C (Charge), P (parity), T (time), CP all are violated
- In Universe: Matter-antimatter is asymmetric, or Baryogenesis

Real World Prefers the Symmetry Breaking Phase

- In nature: C (Charge), P (parity), T (time), CP all are violated
- In Universe: Matter-antimatter is asymmetric, or Baryogenesis
- In SM: $U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_L \rightarrow U(1)_{em}$

Real World Prefers the Symmetry Breaking Phase

- In nature: C (Charge), P (parity), T (time), CP all are violated
- In Universe: Matter-antimatter is asymmetric, or Baryogenesis
- In SM: $U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_L \rightarrow U(1)_{em}$
- In MSMUM/GUTs/String: $SU(32)/SO(10)/E_8 \times E_8 \rightarrow U(1)_{em} \times SU(3)_c$

Real World Prefers the Symmetry Breaking Phase

- In nature: C (Charge), P (parity), T (time), CP all are violated
- In Universe: Matter-antimatter is asymmetric, or Baryogenesis
- In SM: $U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_L \rightarrow U(1)_{em}$
- In MSMUM/GUTs/String: $SU(32)/SO(10)/E_8 \times E_8 \rightarrow U(1)_{em} \times SU(3)_c$
- In Strong QCD, $U(3)_L \times U(3)_R \rightarrow U(3)_V \rightarrow U(1)_V$

Question 1: How to Avoid the Infinity Problem in QFTs

- Underlying theory might not be a quantum theory of fields, it could be something else, for example, string or superstring

Question 1: How to Avoid the Infinity Problem in QFTs

- Underlying theory might not be a quantum theory of fields, it could be something else, for example, string or superstring
- One may modify the behavior of field theory at very large/small momentum.

Question 1: How to Avoid the Infinity Problem in QFTs

- Underlying theory might not be a quantum theory of fields, it could be something else, for example, string or superstring
- One may modify the behavior of field theory at very large/small momentum.

Regularization Schemes

- Cut-off regularization

Question 1: How to Avoid the Infinity Problem in QFTs

- Underlying theory might not be a quantum theory of fields, it could be something else, for example, string or superstring
- One may modify the behavior of field theory at very large/small momentum.

Regularization Schemes

- Cut-off regularization
- Pauli-Villars regularization

Question 1: How to Avoid the Infinity Problem in QFTs

- Underlying theory might not be a quantum theory of fields, it could be something else, for example, string or superstring
- One may modify the behavior of field theory at very large/small momentum.

Regularization Schemes

- Cut-off regularization
- Pauli-Villars regularization
- Lattice regularization

- **Differential Regularization**

- **Differential Regularization**
- **Dimensional regularization**

- **Differential Regularization**
- **Dimensional regularization**

GAP EQUATION

- **Differential Regularization**
- **Dimensional regularization**

GAP EQUATION

$$1 = \frac{GN_c}{8\pi^2} \left(\Lambda^2 - m_t^2 \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{m_t^2} \right) \quad \text{Cut-off Reg.}$$

$$1 = \frac{GN_c}{8\pi^2} \left(-m_t^2 - m_t^2 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_t^2} \right) \quad \text{Dim. Reg.}$$

- **Differential Regularization**
- **Dimensional regularization**

GAP EQUATION

$$1 = \frac{GN_c}{8\pi^2} \left(\Lambda^2 - m_t^2 \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{m_t^2} \right) \quad \text{Cut-off Reg.}$$

$$1 = \frac{GN_c}{8\pi^2} \left(-m_t^2 - m_t^2 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_t^2} \right) \quad \text{Dim. Reg.}$$

This motivates us to a new regularization Scheme

- **Differential Regularization**
- **Dimensional regularization**

GAP EQUATION

$$1 = \frac{GN_c}{8\pi^2} \left(\Lambda^2 - m_t^2 \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{m_t^2} \right) \quad \text{Cut-off Reg.}$$

$$1 = \frac{GN_c}{8\pi^2} \left(-m_t^2 - m_t^2 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_t^2} \right) \quad \text{Dim. Reg.}$$

This motivates us to a new regularization Scheme

Symmetry-Preserving Loop Regularization (LR)

- **Differential Regularization**
- **Dimensional regularization**

GAP EQUATION

$$1 = \frac{GN_c}{8\pi^2} \left(\Lambda^2 - m_t^2 \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{m_t^2} \right) \quad \text{Cut-off Reg.}$$

$$1 = \frac{GN_c}{8\pi^2} \left(-m_t^2 - m_t^2 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_t^2} \right) \quad \text{Dim. Reg.}$$

This motivates us to a new regularization Scheme

Symmetry-Preserving Loop Regularization (LR)

Infinity Free \leftrightarrow Intrinsic Mass Scales

Question 2: How the symmetry broken down?

Question 2: How the symmetry broken down?

- Spontaneously via a given Higgs potential?

Question 2: How the symmetry broken down?

- Spontaneously via a given Higgs potential?
- Dynamically via a given Gap equation?

Question 2: How the symmetry broken down?

- Spontaneously via a given Higgs potential?
- Dynamically via a given Gap equation?
- Geometrically via a specific compactification ?

Question 2: How the symmetry broken down?

- Spontaneously via a given Higgs potential?
- Dynamically via a given Gap equation?
- Geometrically via a specific compactification ?

This motivates us to a combined symmetry breaking mechanism:

Question 2: How the symmetry broken down?

- Spontaneously via a given Higgs potential?
- Dynamically via a given Gap equation?
- Geometrically via a specific compactification ?

This motivates us to a combined symmetry breaking mechanism:

Dynamically Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking (DSSB)

Question 2: How the symmetry broken down?

- Spontaneously via a given Higgs potential?
- Dynamically via a given Gap equation?
- Geometrically via a specific compactification ?

This motivates us to a combined symmetry breaking mechanism:

Dynamically Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking (DSSB)

Gap Equation \leftrightarrow Minimal Condition

Symmetry-Preserving Loop Regularization (SPLR)

Why Quantum Field Theory

Weinberg's folk theorem:

Symmetry-Preserving Loop Regularization (SPLR)

Why Quantum Field Theory

Weinberg's folk theorem:

"Any quantum theory that at sufficiently low energy and large distances looks Lorentz invariant and satisfies the cluster decomposition principle will also at sufficiently low energy look like a quantum field theory"

Symmetry-Preserving Loop Regularization (SPLR)

Why Quantum Field Theory

Weinberg's folk theorem:

"Any quantum theory that at sufficiently low energy and large distances looks Lorentz invariant and satisfies the cluster decomposition principle will also at sufficiently low energy look like a quantum field theory"

Wilson-Kadanoff and Gell-Mann-Low RG Flow

Symmetry-Preserving Loop Regularization (SPLR)

Why Quantum Field Theory

Weinberg's folk theorem:

"Any quantum theory that at sufficiently low energy and large distances looks Lorentz invariant and satisfies the cluster decomposition principle will also at sufficiently low energy look like a quantum field theory"

Wilson-Kadanoff and Gell-Mann-Low RG Flow

"Physical phenomena at any interesting renormalization energy scale can be described by integrating out the physics at higher energy scales"

Implications

- There must exist in any case a characteristic energy scale (CES) M_c .
- One can always make an QFT description at a sufficiently low energy scale in comparison with the CES M_c .

Implications

- There must exist in any case a characteristic energy scale (CES) M_c .
- One can always make an QFT description at a sufficiently low energy scale in comparison with the CES M_c .
- There must exist the so-called sliding energy scale (SES) μ_s to describe the low energy dynamics in the infrared regime of QFTs.

Implications

- There must exist in any case a characteristic energy scale (CES) M_c .
- One can always make an QFT description at a sufficiently low energy scale in comparison with the CES M_c .
- There must exist the so-called sliding energy scale (SES) μ_s to describe the low energy dynamics in the infrared regime of QFTs.
- The explicit regularization method is governed by a physically meaningful CES M_c and a physically interesting SES μ_s .

Implications

- There must exist in any case a characteristic energy scale (CES) M_c .
- One can always make an QFT description at a sufficiently low energy scale in comparison with the CES M_c .
- There must exist the so-called sliding energy scale (SES) μ_s to describe the low energy dynamics in the infrared regime of QFTs.
- The explicit regularization method is governed by a physically meaningful CES M_c and a physically interesting SES μ_s .
- There should be no doubt of existing a new symmetry-preserving and infinity-free regularization scheme.

Irreducible Loop Integrals (ILIs)

Introduction of the concept of irreducible loop integrals (ILIs): “Any n-fold ILIs that are evaluated from n-loop overlapping Feynman integrals of loop momenta k_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are defined as the loop integrals in which there are no longer the overlapping factors $(k_i - k_j + p_{ij})^2$ ($i \neq j$) that appear in the original overlapping Feynman integrals.”

1-fold ILIs for one loop integrals

$$\mathbf{I}_{-2\alpha} = \int \frac{d^4\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(\mathbf{k}^2 - \mathcal{M}^2)^{2+\alpha}}, \quad \mathbf{I}_{-2\alpha \mu\nu} = \int \frac{d^4\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\mathbf{k}_\mu \mathbf{k}_\nu}{(\mathbf{k}^2 - \mathcal{M}^2)^{3+\alpha}},$$
$$\mathbf{I}_{-2\alpha \mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \int \frac{d^4\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\mathbf{k}_\mu \mathbf{k}_\nu \mathbf{k}_\rho \mathbf{k}_\sigma}{(\mathbf{k}^2 - \mathcal{M}^2)^{4+\alpha}}, \quad \alpha = -1, 0, 1, \dots$$

$\mathbf{I}_2, \mathbf{I}_{2\mu\nu\dots} \rightarrow$ Quadratically div.; $\mathbf{I}_0, \mathbf{I}_{0\mu\nu\dots} \rightarrow$ Logarithmically div.

Symmetry-Preserving Consistency Conditions

For Regularized ILIs

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_{2\mu\nu}^{\mathbf{R}} &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{I}_2^{\mathbf{R}}, & \mathbf{I}_{2\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{\mathbf{R}} &= \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{g}_{\{\mu\nu} \mathbf{g}_{\rho\sigma\}} \mathbf{I}_2^{\mathbf{R}}, \\ \mathbf{I}_{0\mu\nu}^{\mathbf{R}} &= \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{I}_0^{\mathbf{R}}, & \mathbf{I}_{0\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{\mathbf{R}} &= \frac{1}{24} \mathbf{g}_{\{\mu\nu} \mathbf{g}_{\rho\sigma\}} \mathbf{I}_0^{\mathbf{R}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{g}_{\{\mu\nu} \mathbf{g}_{\rho\sigma\}} \equiv \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{g}_{\rho\sigma} + \mathbf{g}_{\mu\rho} \mathbf{g}_{\nu\sigma} + \mathbf{g}_{\mu\sigma} \mathbf{g}_{\rho\nu}$$

Symmetry-Preserving Consistency Conditions

For Regularized IIs

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_{2\mu\nu}^{\mathbf{R}} &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{I}_2^{\mathbf{R}}, & \mathbf{I}_{2\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{\mathbf{R}} &= \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{g}_{\{\mu\nu} \mathbf{g}_{\rho\sigma\}} \mathbf{I}_2^{\mathbf{R}}, \\ \mathbf{I}_{0\mu\nu}^{\mathbf{R}} &= \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{I}_0^{\mathbf{R}}, & \mathbf{I}_{0\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{\mathbf{R}} &= \frac{1}{24} \mathbf{g}_{\{\mu\nu} \mathbf{g}_{\rho\sigma\}} \mathbf{I}_0^{\mathbf{R}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{g}_{\{\mu\nu} \mathbf{g}_{\rho\sigma\}} \equiv \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{g}_{\rho\sigma} + \mathbf{g}_{\mu\rho} \mathbf{g}_{\nu\sigma} + \mathbf{g}_{\mu\sigma} \mathbf{g}_{\rho\nu}$$

Divergence Cancellation

Symmetry-Preserving Consistency Conditions

For Regularized ILIs

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_{2\mu\nu}^{\mathbf{R}} &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{I}_2^{\mathbf{R}}, & \mathbf{I}_{2\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{\mathbf{R}} &= \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{g}_{\{\mu\nu} \mathbf{g}_{\rho\sigma\}} \mathbf{I}_2^{\mathbf{R}}, \\ \mathbf{I}_{0\mu\nu}^{\mathbf{R}} &= \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{I}_0^{\mathbf{R}}, & \mathbf{I}_{0\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{\mathbf{R}} &= \frac{1}{24} \mathbf{g}_{\{\mu\nu} \mathbf{g}_{\rho\sigma\}} \mathbf{I}_0^{\mathbf{R}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{g}_{\{\mu\nu} \mathbf{g}_{\rho\sigma\}} \equiv \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{g}_{\rho\sigma} + \mathbf{g}_{\mu\rho} \mathbf{g}_{\nu\sigma} + \mathbf{g}_{\mu\sigma} \mathbf{g}_{\rho\nu}$$

Divergence Cancellation

“The regularized quadratic divergences cancel each other for Underlying gauge theories due to Gauge Invariance.”

Regulator-Free Scheme

“Consistency conditions lead to a regulator-free scheme for underlying QFTs.”

General Theorem:

“The convergent integrations can safely be carried out, only the divergent integrations destroy the gauge invariance”.

Demonstration

$$\mathbf{I}_{2\mu\nu} = a \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{I}_2, \quad a = \mathbf{I}_{2\mu\nu} \mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu} / (4\mathbf{I}_2) = 1/4$$

$$\mathbf{I}_{2\ 00} = a \mathbf{g}_{00} \mathbf{I}_2, \quad a = 1/2$$

General Regularization Prescription

Replacing in the ILIs the loop integrating variable k^2 and the loop integrating measure $\int d^4k$ by the regularized ones $[k^2]_l$ and $\int [d^4k]_l$

$$\mathbf{k}^2 \rightarrow [\mathbf{k}^2]_l \equiv \mathbf{k}^2 + M_l^2 ,$$
$$\int d^4\mathbf{k} \rightarrow \int [d^4\mathbf{k}]_l \equiv \lim_{N, M_l^2} \sum_{i=0}^N c_i^N \int d^4\mathbf{k}$$

with

$$\lim_{N, M_l^2} \sum_{i=0}^N c_i^N (M_l^2)^n = 0 \quad c_0^N = 1 \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots)$$

Explicit and Simple Solution

$$M_1^2 = \mu_s^2 + 1M_R^2, \quad c_1^N = (-1)^1 \frac{N!}{(N-1)! 1!}$$

Explicit Forms for the Regularized ILIs I_0^R and I_2^R

$$I_2^R = \frac{-i}{16\pi^2} \left\{ M_c^2 - \mu^2 \left[\ln \frac{M_c^2}{\mu^2} - \gamma_w + 1 + y_2\left(\frac{\mu^2}{M_c^2}\right) \right] \right\}$$

$$I_0^R = \frac{i}{16\pi^2} \left[\ln \frac{M_c^2}{\mu^2} - \gamma_w + y_0\left(\frac{\mu^2}{M_c^2}\right) \right]$$

$$y_0(x) = \int_0^x d\sigma \frac{1 - e^{-\sigma}}{\sigma}, \quad y_1(x) = \frac{e^{-x} - 1 + x}{x}, \quad y_2(x) = y_0(x) - y_1(x),$$

$$M_c^2 = \lim_{N, M_R} M_R^2 / \ln N, \quad \mu^2 = \mu_s^2 + \mathcal{M}^2, \quad \gamma_w = \gamma_E = \mathbf{0.5772 \dots}$$

Two Intrinsic Mass Scales

- M_c - UV Cut-off scale & Characteristic Energy Scale (CES)
- μ_s - IR Cut-off scale & Sliding Energy Scale (SES)

Regulating Distribution Functions

The Regularized ILIs in Proper-Time Formalism

$$\mathbf{I}_{-2\alpha}^{\mathbf{R}} = \frac{i(-1)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 2)} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int \frac{d^4\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^\infty d\tau \mathcal{W}_N(\tau; M_c, \mu_s) \tau^{\alpha+1} e^{-\tau(k^2 + M^2)}$$

Conditions for the Regulating Distribution Function $\mathcal{W}_N(\tau; \mathbf{M}_c, \mu_s)$

- $\mathcal{W}_N(\tau = 0; \mathbf{M}_c, \mu_s) = 0$
so as to eliminate the singularity at $\tau = 0$ which corresponds to the **UV** divergence for the momentum integration;
- $\mathcal{W}_N(\tau = \infty; \mathbf{M}_c, \mu_s = 0) = 1$
for ensuring the regulating distribution function not to modify the behavior of original theory in the IR regime;
- $\mathcal{W}_N(\tau; \mathbf{M}_c \rightarrow \infty, \mu_s = 0) = 1$
which ensures the proper-time formalism to recover the original ILIs in the physical limits;
- $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W}_N(\tau; \mathbf{M}_c, \mu_s = 0) = 1$ for $\tau \geq 1/M_c^2$
 $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W}_N(\tau; \mathbf{M}_c, \mu_s = 0) = 0$ for $\tau < 1/M_c^2$,
so that M_c acts as the **UV** cutoff scale.

The Simple Form of $\mathcal{W}_N(\tau; \mathbf{M}_c, \mu_s)$

$$\mathcal{W}_N(\tau; \mathbf{M}_c, \mu_s) = e^{-\tau\mu_s^2} \left(1 - e^{-\tau\mathbf{M}_R^2}\right)^N = \sum_{l=0}^N (-1)^l \frac{N!}{(N-l)! l!} e^{-\tau(\mu_s^2 + l\mathbf{M}_R^2)}$$

$$\mathbf{M}_R^2 = \mathbf{M}_c^2 h_w(N) \ln N, \quad h_w(N) \mathbf{1} \quad h_w(N \rightarrow \infty) = \mathbf{1}$$

The Regularized ILIs after integrating over τ

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_{-2\alpha}^R &= \lim_{N, \mathbf{M}_1^2} \int \frac{d^4\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^4} \sum_{l=0}^N c_l^N \frac{i(-1)^\alpha}{(\mathbf{k}^2 + \mathcal{M}^2 + \mathbf{M}_1^2)^{\alpha+2}} \\ &= i(-1)^\alpha \int \frac{[d^4\mathbf{k}]_1}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{([\mathbf{k}^2]_1 + \mathcal{M}^2)^{\alpha+2}} \end{aligned}$$

which exactly reproduces the prescription for the new SPLR method.

Generalization to More Closed Loops

UV-divergence preserving parameter method

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{a}^\alpha \mathbf{b}^\beta} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\infty \mathbf{d}u \frac{u^{\beta-1}}{[\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}u]^{\alpha+\beta}}$$

Here u is the UV-divergence preserving integral variable. As a consequence, the UV divergence for the momentum integration transfers into the one for u integration.

General Form for n-fold ILIs

After safely performing (n-1) convergent integrations over the momentum

k_i ($i = 1, \dots, n - 1$),

$$\mathbf{I}_{\Delta}^{(n)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_0^{\infty} d\mathbf{u}_i \frac{\mathbf{F}_{is}(\mathbf{x}_{lm})}{(\mathbf{u}_i + \rho_i)^{\Delta_{is}}} \mathbf{I}_{\Delta_n}^{(1)}(\mu_n^2)$$

$$\mathbf{I}_{\Delta\mu\nu}^{(n)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_0^{\infty} d\mathbf{u}_i \frac{\mathbf{F}_{is}(\mathbf{x}_{lm})}{(\mathbf{u}_i + \rho_i)^{\Delta_{is}}} \mathbf{I}_{\Delta_n\mu\nu}^{(1)}(\mu_n^2)$$

with Overall 1-fold ILIs

$$\mathbf{I}_{\Delta_n}^{(1)}(\mu_n^2) = \int d^4\mathbf{k}_n \frac{1}{(\mathbf{k}_n^2 + \mathcal{M}^2 + \mu_n^2)^{\Delta_n}}$$

$$\mathbf{I}_{\Delta_n\mu\nu}^{(1)}(\mu_n^2) = \int d^4\mathbf{k}_n \frac{\mathbf{k}_{n\mu}\mathbf{k}_{n\nu}}{(\mathbf{k}_n^2 + \mathcal{M}^2 + \mu_n^2)^{\Delta_n+1}}$$

Important Features

The functions ρ_i and μ_n^2 have the limits

$$\rho_i \rightarrow 0, \quad \mu_n^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for} \quad u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} \rightarrow \infty$$

- The integral over the n-th loop momentum k_n describes the overall divergent property of n-loop diagrams
- The sub-integrals over the variables u_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$) characterize the UV divergent properties for the one-loop, two-loop, \dots , (n-1)-loop sub-diagrams respectively

Important Theorems

The Key Theorem

“In the Feynman loop integrals the overlapping divergences which contain overall divergences and also divergences of sub-integrals will become factorizable in the corresponding ILIs.”

The Main Theorem

“All the overlapping divergent integrals can be made to be harmless via appropriate subtractions.”

General Prescription of Loop Regularization

Universally replace in the n-fold ILIs the n-th loop momentum square k_n^2 and the integrating measure $\int d^4k_n$ as well as the UV-divergence preserving integral variables u_i ($i = 1, \dots, n-1$) and $\int du_i$ by the regularizing ones $[k_n^2]_l$ and $\int [d^4k_n]_l$ as well as $[u_i]_l$ and $\int [du_i]_l$ with n being arbitrary:

$$\mathbf{k}_n^2 \rightarrow [\mathbf{k}_n^2]_l \equiv \mathbf{k}_n^2 + M_1^2 = \mathbf{k}_n^2 + \mu_s^2 + lM_R^2 ,$$

$$\int d^4\mathbf{k}_n \rightarrow \int [d^4\mathbf{k}_n]_l \equiv \lim_{N, M_R^2} \sum_{l=0}^N c_l^N \int d^4\mathbf{k}_n$$

$$\mathbf{u}_i \rightarrow [\mathbf{u}_i]_l \equiv \mathbf{u}_i + M_1^2/\mu_s^2 = \mathbf{u}_i + 1 + lM_R^2/\mu_s^2$$

$$\int d\mathbf{u}_i \rightarrow \int [d\mathbf{u}_i]_l \equiv \lim_{N, M_R} \sum_{l=0}^N c_l^N \int d\mathbf{u}_i$$

Low Energy Dynamics of QCD

Well-Known Phenomena in Real World

- **Hadrons are considered to be the bound states of quarks and gluons**
- **Lightest pseudoscalar mesons are successfully described by current algebra with PCAC**
- **The chiral symmetry $U(3)_L \times U(3)_R$ is strongly broken down**
- **QCD was motivated from the studies of hadrons at low energy**
- **Low energy dynamics of QCD remains unsolved due to nonperturbative effects of strong interactions**

Important Issues on Low Energy Dynamics of QCD

- How the chiral symmetry is dynamically broken down
- How the instanton plays the role as a quantum topological solution of nonperturbative QCD
- Whether the effective meson theory should be realized as a linear σ model or a non-linear σ model
- Whether the lowest lying $U(3)_V$ nonet scalar mesons corresponds to the chiral partners of the lowest lying nonet pseudoscalar mesons
- Whether there exist other lighter isospinor scalar mesons κ_0 (900MeV) as the lowest lying scalar mesons

- Does the singlet scalar meson $f_0(400 - 1200)$ (or the σ) truly exist, why it is so light.

Answers to the Questions

Three Basic Assumptions

- The (approximate) chiral symmetry of QCD Lagrangian
- Bound State Solutions of Nonperturbative QCD
- Important Instanton Effects

Observations Based on Loop Regularization Method

- Quantum Chiral Dynamics is realized nonlinearly
- $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ Symmetry is Dynamically spontaneous broken down
- Gap Equations as Minimal Conditions of Effective Potential
- Nonet scalar mesons play the role of composite Higgs Bosons
- Nonet scalar mesons are the chiral partners of the lowest lying nonet pseudoscalar mesons
- Chiral Effective Field Theory has the same parameters as QCD
(5 parameters: v_0 (Λ_{QCD}), μ_s ($g_s(\mu)$), m_u , m_d , m_s)

Consistent Predictions

- 18 mass spectrum for nonet scalar and pseudoscalar mesons
- 2 (6) mixing angles among the neutral mesons
- 2 Intrinsic Mass Scales $M_c \sim 1 \text{ GeV}$ and $\mu_s \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$
- Quark condensate $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ or vacuum expectation value v_o
- κ_0 (900MeV) is the lowest lying isospinor scalar mesons
- Lightest σ and heaviest η' all are duo to Instanton Effects

Effective Chiral Lagrangian

QCD Lagrangian for Light Quarks

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = \bar{q}\gamma^\mu(\mathbf{i}\partial_\mu + g_s\mathbf{G}_\mu^a\mathbf{T}^a)q - \bar{q}\mathbf{M}q - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}\mathbf{G}_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{G}^{\mu\nu}$$

$$q = (u, d, s), \quad \mathbf{M} = \text{diag.}(m_1, m_2, m_3) \equiv \text{diag.}(m_u, m_d, m_s)$$

Approximate Global Chiral Symmetry

$$\mathbf{U}(3)_L \times \mathbf{U}(3)_R, \quad m_i \ll \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}(i = 1, 2, 3)$$

Instanton Effects via t'Hooft Determination

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{inst}} = \kappa_{\text{inst}} e^{i\theta_{\text{inst}}} \det(-\bar{q}_R q_L) + \text{h.c.}, \quad \kappa_{\text{inst}} \sim e^{-8\pi^2/g^2}$$

$$\mathbf{U}(1)_L \times \mathbf{U}(1)_R \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(1)_V$$

Effective Four Quark Interactions-NJL at low energy

$$\mathcal{L}^{4q} = \frac{1}{\mu_f^2} (\bar{q}_{Li} q_{Rj}) (\bar{q}_{Rj} q_{Li}) + \text{h.c.}$$

Effective Lagrangian for Quarks and Bound States

Integrating over the gluon field and considering the bound state solution

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(q, \bar{q}, \Phi) &= \bar{q} \gamma^\mu i \partial_\mu q + \bar{q}_L \gamma_\mu \mathcal{A}_L^\mu q_L + \bar{q}_R \gamma_\mu \mathcal{A}_R^\mu q_R - [\bar{q}_L (\Phi - M) q_R + \text{h.c.}] \\ &+ 2\mu_f^2 \text{tr} (\Phi M^\dagger + M \Phi^\dagger) - \mu_f^2 \text{tr} \Phi \Phi^\dagger + \mu_{\text{inst}} (\det \Phi + \text{h.c.}) \end{aligned}$$

Φ_{ij} – the effective meson fields

$$\Phi_{ij} \simeq -\frac{1}{\mu_f^2} \bar{\mathbf{q}}_{Rj} \mathbf{q}_{Li} + 2\mathbf{M}_{ij}, \quad \langle \phi \rangle \mu_{\text{inst}} \ll \mu_f^2$$

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \xi_L(\mathbf{x}) \phi(\mathbf{x}) \xi_R^\dagger(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{U} = \xi_L(\mathbf{x}) \xi_R^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) = \xi_L^2(\mathbf{x}) = e^{i\frac{2\Pi(\mathbf{x})}{f}}$$

$$\phi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) = \phi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{a=0}^{a=9} \phi^a(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{T}^a, \quad \Pi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) = \Pi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{a=0}^{a=9} \Pi^a(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{T}^a$$

Generating Functionals for effective chiral Lagrangian of mesons

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}} \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{G}_\mu \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}\bar{q} e^{i \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}}} &= \frac{1}{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}} \int \mathcal{D}\Phi \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}\bar{q} e^{i \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(q, \bar{q}, \Phi)} \\ &= \frac{1}{Z_{\text{eff}}} \int \mathcal{D}\Phi e^{i \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(\Phi)} \end{aligned}$$

Chiral Effective Lagrangian

Applying the Schwinger's proper time technique to the determinant of Dirac operator and adopting the Loop Regularization method for momentum integrals

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(\Phi) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{N_c}{16\pi^2} \text{tr} \mathbf{T}_0 [\mathbf{D}_\mu \hat{\Phi} \mathbf{D}^\mu \hat{\Phi}^\dagger + \mathbf{D}_\mu \hat{\Phi}^\dagger \mathbf{D}^\mu \hat{\Phi} \\ &\quad - (\hat{\Phi} \hat{\Phi}^\dagger - \bar{\mathbf{M}}^2)^2 - (\hat{\Phi}^\dagger \hat{\Phi} - \bar{\mathbf{M}}^2)^2] \\ &\quad + \frac{N_c}{16\pi^2} \mathbf{M}_c^2 \text{tr} \mathbf{T}_2 [(\hat{\Phi} \hat{\Phi}^\dagger - \bar{\mathbf{M}}^2) + (\hat{\Phi}^\dagger \hat{\Phi} - \bar{\mathbf{M}}^2)] \\ &\quad + \mu_m^2 \text{tr} (\Phi \mathbf{M}^\dagger + \mathbf{M} \Phi^\dagger) - \mu_f^2 \text{tr} \Phi \Phi^\dagger + \mu_{\text{inst}} (\det \Phi + \text{h.c.})\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\hat{\Phi} = \Phi - \mathbf{M}, \quad \bar{\mathbf{M}} = \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{M} = \text{diag.}(\bar{m}_1, \bar{m}_2, \bar{m}_3),$$

$$\mathbf{V} = \text{diag.}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3), \quad \bar{\mathbf{m}}_i = \mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{m}_i$$

$$\mathbf{T}_0 = \text{diag.}(\mathbf{T}_0^{(1)}, \mathbf{T}_0^{(2)}, \mathbf{T}_0^{(3)}), \quad \mathbf{T}_2 = \text{diag.}(\mathbf{T}_2^{(1)}, \mathbf{T}_2^{(2)}, \mathbf{T}_2^{(3)})$$

and

$$\mathbf{T}_0^{(i)}\left(\frac{\mu_i^2}{\mathbf{M}_c^2}\right) = \ln \frac{\mathbf{M}_c^2}{\mu_i^2} - \gamma_w + \mathbf{y}_0\left(\frac{\mu_i^2}{\mathbf{M}_c^2}\right), \quad \mu_i^2 = \mu_s^2 + \bar{\mathbf{m}}_i^2$$

$$\mathbf{T}_2^{(i)}\left(\frac{\mu_i^2}{\mathbf{M}_c^2}\right) = \mathbf{1} - \frac{\mu_i^2}{\mathbf{M}_c^2} \left[\ln \frac{\mathbf{M}_c^2}{\mu_i^2} - \gamma_w + \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{y}_2\left(\frac{\mu_i^2}{\mathbf{M}_c^2}\right) \right]$$

Dynamically Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking

Dynamically Generated Effective Potential

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{eff}}(\Phi) &= -\text{tr} \hat{\mu}_m^2 (\Phi M^\dagger + M \Phi^\dagger) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \hat{\mu}_f^2 (\Phi \Phi^\dagger + \Phi^\dagger \Phi) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \lambda [(\hat{\Phi} \hat{\Phi}^\dagger)^2 + (\hat{\Phi}^\dagger \hat{\Phi})^2] - \mu_{\text{inst}} (\det \Phi + \text{h.c.}) \end{aligned}$$

with $\hat{\mu}_f^2$, $\hat{\mu}_m^2$ and λ the three diagonal matrices

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}_f^2 &= \mu_f^2 - \frac{N_c}{8\pi^2} (M_c^2 T_2 + \bar{M}^2 T_0) \\ \hat{\mu}_m^2 &= \mu_m^2 - \frac{N_c}{8\pi^2} (M_c^2 T_2 + \bar{M}^2 T_0), \quad \lambda = \frac{N_c}{16\pi^2} T_0 \end{aligned}$$

Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking

Vacuum Expectation Values (VEVs)

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \xi_{\mathbf{L}}(\mathbf{x})\phi(\mathbf{x})\xi_{\mathbf{R}}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}), \quad \phi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{V} + \varphi(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{V} = \langle \phi \rangle = \text{diag.}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3)$$

Minimal Conditions/Generalized Gap Equations

$$- (\hat{\mu}_{\mathbf{f}}^2)_i \mathbf{v}_i + (\hat{\mu}_{\mathbf{m}}^2)_i \mathbf{m}_i - 2\lambda_i \bar{\mathbf{m}}_i^3 + \mu_{\text{inst}} \bar{\mathbf{v}}^3 / \mathbf{v}_i = 0, \quad \mathbf{i} = 1, 2, 3, \quad \bar{\mathbf{v}}^3 = \mathbf{v}_1 \mathbf{v}_2 \mathbf{v}_3$$

Expanding in terms of the quark masses m_i

$$(\hat{\mu}_{\mathbf{f}}^2)_i = \bar{\mu}_{\mathbf{f}}^2 + 2\mu_{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{o}} \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_i \left[\mathbf{1} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}=1} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_i}{\mu_{\mathbf{o}}} \right)^{\mathbf{k}} \right]$$

$$(\hat{\mu}_{\mathbf{m}}^2)_i = \bar{\mu}_{\mathbf{m}}^2 + 2\mu_{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{o}} \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_i \left[\mathbf{1} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}=1} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_i}{\mu_{\mathbf{o}}} \right)^{\mathbf{k}} \right]$$

$$\lambda_i = \bar{\lambda} - \lambda_o \sum_{k=1} \beta_k \left(\frac{\tilde{m}_i}{\mu_o} \right)^k, \quad \lambda_o = \frac{N_c}{16\pi^2}$$

$$\mu_o^2 = \mu_s^2 + v_o^2, \quad \tilde{m}_i = m_i [1 + m_i / (2v_o)]$$

The Unknown 5 + 3 Parameters $\bar{\mu}_f^2, \mu_{inst}, v_o, \mu_s, \bar{\lambda}, m_u, m_d, m_s$

Three Constraints

$$3v_o(1 - \epsilon_o)/4 \simeq v_{inst}$$

$$2\bar{\lambda}(v_{inst}v_3 - v_o^2) \simeq \bar{\mu}_f^2$$

$$10\bar{\lambda}v_o^2 + 2\lambda_o v_o^2 \left(1 - \frac{2v_o^2}{\mu_o^2}\right) + 4\bar{\mu}_f^2 \simeq \bar{\mu}_m^2$$

with

$$\epsilon_o = \frac{\lambda_o}{\bar{\lambda}} \left[\left(\frac{2v_o^2}{\mu_o^2} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{3} \frac{v_o^2}{\mu_o^2} \right) - \frac{1}{3} \frac{2v_o}{\mu_o} \alpha_1 (1 - r) + r + \left(\frac{2v_o^2}{\mu_o^2} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\lambda_o} \right) \frac{m_s}{v_o} \right]$$
$$\mu_{fo} \equiv 2\lambda_o v_o (1 - r), \quad \mu_{inst} \equiv 2\bar{\lambda} v_{inst}$$

Here r and α_1 are given by

$$r = \frac{\mu_s^2}{\mu_o^2} - \frac{\mu_o^2}{M_c^2} \left[1 + \frac{\mu_s^2}{\mu_o^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mu_o^2}{M_c^2}\right) \right]$$
$$\alpha_1 (1 - r) = \frac{2v_o}{\mu_o} \left[\frac{\mu_s^2}{2\mu_o^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mu_o^2}{M_c^2}\right) \right]$$

Parameter Definitions

$$\bar{\mu}_f^2 = \mu_f^2 - \frac{N_c}{8\pi^2} \left(M_c^2 T_2\left(\frac{\mu_o^2}{M_c^2}\right) + v_o^2 T_0\left(\frac{\mu_o^2}{M_c^2}\right) \right)$$

$$\bar{\mu}_m^2 = \mu_m^2 - \frac{N_c}{8\pi^2} \left(M_c^2 T_2\left(\frac{\mu_o^2}{M_c^2}\right) + v_o^2 T_0\left(\frac{\mu_o^2}{M_c^2}\right) \right)$$

$$\bar{\lambda} = \frac{N_c}{16\pi^2} T_0\left(\frac{\mu_o^2}{M_c^2}\right), \quad T_0\left(\frac{\mu_o^2}{M_c^2}\right) = \ln \frac{M_c^2}{\mu_o^2} - \gamma_w + y_0\left(\frac{\mu_o^2}{M_c^2}\right)$$

Gap Equation without Instanton ($v_{\text{inst}} = 0$)

$$\frac{N_c}{8\pi^2 \mu_f^2} \left[M_c^2 - \mu_o^2 \left(\ln \frac{M_c^2}{\mu_o^2} - \gamma_w + 1 + y_2\left(\frac{\mu_o^2}{M_c^2}\right) \right) \right] = 1$$

Lightest Composite Higgs Bosons & Mass Formulations

The Composite Higgs Bosons - Scalar Mesons and Would-be Goldstone Bosons-Pseudoscalar Mesons

$$\sqrt{2}\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_0^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}f_8 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}f_s & a_0^+ & \kappa_0^+ \\ a_0^- & -\frac{a_0^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}f_8 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}f_s & \kappa_0^0 \\ \kappa_0^- & \bar{\kappa}_0^0 & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}f_8 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}f_s \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\sqrt{2}\boldsymbol{\Pi} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\eta_8 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\eta_0 & \pi^+ & K^+ \\ \pi^- & -\frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\eta_8 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\eta_0 & K^0 \\ K^- & \bar{K}^0 & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\eta_8 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\eta_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

Mass Formulations: Pseudoscalar Mesons at Leading Order

$$m_{\pi^\pm}^2 \simeq \frac{2\mu_P^3}{f^2}(m_u + m_d)$$

$$m_{K^\pm}^2 \simeq \frac{2\mu_P^3}{f^2}(m_u + m_s)$$

$$m_{K^0}^2 \simeq \frac{2\mu_P^3}{f^2}(m_d + m_s)$$

$$m_{\eta_8}^2 \simeq \frac{2\mu_P^3}{f^2} \left[\frac{1}{3}(m_u + m_d) + \frac{4}{3}m_s \right] = \frac{1}{3}(4m_K^2 - m_\pi^2)$$

$$m_{\eta_8\eta_0}^2 \simeq -\frac{2\mu_P^3}{f^2} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} [2m_s - (m_u + m_d)] = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}(m_K^2 - m_\pi^2)$$

$$m_{\eta_0}^2 \simeq \frac{2\mu_P^3}{f^2} \frac{2}{3}(m_u + m_d + m_s) + \frac{12\bar{v}^3}{f^2} \mu_{\text{inst}} = \frac{1}{3}(2m_K^2 + m_\pi^2) + \frac{24\bar{v}^3}{f^2} \bar{\lambda} v_{\text{inst}}$$

$$\mu_{\text{P}}^3 = (\bar{\mu}_{\text{m}}^2 + 2\bar{\lambda}v_{\text{o}}^2)v_{\text{o}} \simeq 12\bar{\lambda}v_{\text{o}}^3 \simeq 3v_{\text{o}}f^2$$

Scalar Mesons - Lightest Composite Higgs Bosons

$$m_{a_{\pm}}^2 \simeq m_{a_0}^2 \simeq 2(2\bar{m}_{\text{u}} + \bar{m}_{\text{d}})\bar{m}_{\text{u}} + 2v_{\text{inst}}v_3 \sim 8v_{\text{o}}^2$$

$$m_{k_{\pm}}^2 \simeq 2(2\bar{m}_{\text{u}} + \bar{m}_{\text{s}})\bar{m}_{\text{u}} + 2v_{\text{inst}}v_2 \sim 8v_{\text{o}}^2$$

$$m_{k_0}^2 \simeq 2(2\bar{m}_{\text{d}} + \bar{m}_{\text{s}})\bar{m}_{\text{d}} + 2v_{\text{inst}}v_1 \sim 8v_{\text{o}}^2$$

$$m_{f_8}^2 \simeq \bar{m}_{\text{u}}^2 + \bar{m}_{\text{d}}^2 + 4\bar{m}_{\text{s}}^2 + \frac{2}{3}v_{\text{inst}}(2v_1 + 2v_2 - v_3) \sim 8v_{\text{o}}^2$$

$$m_{f_8}^2 \simeq 2(\bar{m}_{\text{u}}^2 + \bar{m}_{\text{d}}^2 + \bar{m}_{\text{s}}^2) - \frac{4}{3}v_{\text{inst}}(v_1 + v_2 + v_3) \sim 2v_{\text{o}}^2$$

$$m_{f_{\text{sf}8}}^2 \simeq \sqrt{2}(2\bar{m}_{\text{s}}^2 - \bar{m}_{\text{u}}^2 - \bar{m}_{\text{d}}^2) - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}v_{\text{inst}}(2v_3 - v_1 - v_2) \sim 0$$

Mixing Angles

$$\tan 2\theta_P = 2\sqrt{2}\left[1 - \frac{9v_{\text{inst}}v_3}{m_K^2 - m_\pi^2}\right]^{-1}$$

$$\tan 2\theta_S = \frac{2m_{f_s f_8}^2}{m_{f_s}^2 - m_{f_8}^2}$$

Two More Constraints

Normalization Condition from Kinetic Term

$$\bar{\lambda}v_o^2 = f^2/4, \quad T_0v_o^2 = \frac{(4\pi f)^2}{4N_c} \equiv \bar{\Lambda}_f^2 \simeq (340\text{MeV})^2$$

Trace of Mass Matrix

$$v_{\text{inst}}v_3 = \frac{1}{6}(m_{\eta_8}^2 + m_{\eta_0}^2 - 2m_K^2) = \frac{1}{6}(m_\eta^2 + m_{\eta'}^2 - 2m_K^2)$$

Numerical Results

Input Parameters

$$\begin{aligned} f_\pi &= 94\text{MeV} & v_o &= 340\text{MeV} \\ m_u &\simeq 3.8\text{MeV} & m_d &\simeq 5.7\text{MeV} & m_s/m_d &\simeq 20.5 \end{aligned}$$

Output Predictions

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_f &\simeq 144\text{MeV}, & \mu_{\text{inst}} &\simeq 8.0\text{MeV} \\ M_c &\simeq 922\text{MeV}, & \mu_s &\simeq 333\text{MeV} \\ \langle \bar{u}u \rangle &\simeq \langle \bar{d}d \rangle \simeq \langle \bar{s}s \rangle = -(\mathbf{242\text{MeV}})^3 \\ m_\pi &\simeq 139\text{MeV}, & m_\pi|_{\text{exp}} &\simeq 139\text{MeV} \\ m_{K^0} &\simeq 500\text{MeV}, & m_{K^0}|_{\text{exp}} &\simeq 500\text{MeV} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{K^\pm} &\simeq 496 \text{ MeV} & m_{K^\pm}|_{\text{exp}} &\simeq 496 \text{ MeV} \\
m_\eta &\simeq 503 \text{ MeV}, & m_\eta|_{\text{exp}} &\simeq 548 \text{ MeV} \\
m_{\eta'} &\simeq 986 \text{ MeV}, & m_{\eta'}|_{\text{exp}} &\simeq 958 \text{ MeV} \\
m_{a_0} &\simeq 978 \text{ MeV}, & m_{a_0}^{\text{exp.}} &= 984.8 \pm 1.4 \text{ MeV} \quad \text{PDG} \\
m_{\kappa_0} &\simeq 970 \text{ MeV}, & m_{\kappa_0}^{\text{exp.}} &= 797 \pm 19 \pm 43 \text{ MeV} \quad \text{E7912} \\
m_{f_0} &\simeq 1126 \text{ MeV}, & m_{f_0}^{\text{epx.}} &= 980 \pm 10 \text{ MeV} \quad \text{PDG} \\
m_\sigma &\simeq 677 \text{ MeV}, & m_\sigma^{\text{exp.}} &= (400 - 1200) \text{ MeV} \quad \text{PDG} \\
\theta_P &\simeq -18^\circ, & \theta_S &\simeq -18^\circ
\end{aligned}$$

$$\eta_8 = \cos \theta_P \eta + \sin \theta_P \eta', \quad \eta_0 = \cos \theta_P \eta' - \sin \theta_P \eta$$

$$\mathbf{f}_8 = \cos \theta_S \mathbf{f}_0 + \sin \theta_S \sigma, \quad \mathbf{f}_s = \cos \theta_S \sigma - \sin \theta_S \mathbf{f}_0$$

$$\text{In Chiral Limit : } m_\sigma = \sqrt{2}v_o, \quad m_{f_0} = m_{a_0} = m_{\kappa_0} = \sqrt{8}v_o = 2m_\sigma$$

$$m_\sigma = 490 \text{ MeV}, \quad m_{f_0} = m_{a_0} = m_{\kappa_0} = 980 \text{ MeV}, \quad \text{for } v_o = 346.5 \text{ MeV}$$

Conclusions & Remarks

- **Symmetry-Preserving Loop Regularization is a Useful Tool for Underlying and Effective QFTs**
- **Low Energy Dynamics of QCD Can Well be Described by Nonlinearly Realized Chiral Dynamic Effective Field Theory**

- **Lightest Scalars cannot be the molecular or four quark bound states of Pseudoscalars (π , K , etc.) though their masses are occasionally near**

Conclusions & Remarks

- **Symmetry-Preserving Loop Regularization is a Useful Tool for Underlying and Effective QFTs**
- **Low Energy Dynamics of QCD Can Well be Described by Nonlinearly Realized Chiral Dynamic Effective Field Theory**
- **Dynamically Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking Mechanism Can well be Established in Strong Interactions**

- **Lightest Scalars cannot be the molecular or four quark bound states of Pseudoscalars (π , K , etc.) though their masses are occasionally near**

Conclusions & Remarks

- **Symmetry-Preserving Loop Regularization is a Useful Tool for Underlying and Effective QFTs**
- **Low Energy Dynamics of QCD Can Well be Described by Nonlinearly Realized Chiral Dynamic Effective Field Theory**
- **Dynamically Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking Mechanism Can well be Established in Strong Interactions**
- **Lightest Scalar Mesons are Truly the Composite Higgs Bosons that Have First been Observed in Nature**
- **Lightest Scalars cannot be the molecular or four quark bound states of Pseudoscalars (π , K , etc.) though their masses are occasionally near**

the threshold of massive Pseudoscalar mesons. The reason is simple, in the chiral limit, Pseudoscalar mesons becomes exactly massless Goldstone bosons, while Lightest Scalar mesons remains massive after dynamically spontaneous symmetry breaking. **Also Instanton Effects are Important and Significant.**

$$m_\sigma = \sqrt{2}v_o, \quad m_{f_0} = m_{a_0} = m_{\kappa_0} = \sqrt{8}v_o = 2m_\sigma, \text{ i.e.,}$$
$$m_\sigma = 490\text{MeV}, \quad m_{f_0} = m_{a_0} = m_{\kappa_0} = 980\text{MeV}.$$

- **All Higher Order Corrections can in principle be Systematically Evaluated without Involving any Additional Parameters**

the threshold of massive Pseudoscalar mesons. The reason is simple, in the chiral limit, Pseudoscalar mesons becomes exactly massless Goldstone bosons, while Lightest Scalar mesons remains massive after dynamically spontaneous symmetry breaking. **Also Instanton Effects are Important and Significant.**

$$m_\sigma = \sqrt{2}v_o, \quad m_{f_0} = m_{a_0} = m_{\kappa_0} = \sqrt{8}v_o = 2m_\sigma, \text{ i.e.,}$$
$$m_\sigma = 490\text{MeV}, \quad m_{f_0} = m_{a_0} = m_{\kappa_0} = 980\text{MeV}.$$

- **All Higher Order Corrections can in principle be Systematically Evaluated without Involving any Additional Parameters**
- **More Precise Measurement and Confirmation of the σ and κ Scalar Mesons will be a Direct Test to the Quantum Chiral Dynamics of Low Energy QCD for Mesons**

the threshold of massive Pseudoscalar mesons. The reason is simple, in the chiral limit, Pseudoscalar mesons becomes exactly massless Goldstone bosons, while Lightest Scalar mesons remains massive after dynamically spontaneous symmetry breaking. **Also Instanton Effects are Important and Significant.**

$$m_\sigma = \sqrt{2}v_o, \quad m_{f_0} = m_{a_0} = m_{\kappa_0} = \sqrt{8}v_o = 2m_\sigma, \text{ i.e.,}$$
$$m_\sigma = 490\text{MeV}, \quad m_{f_0} = m_{a_0} = m_{\kappa_0} = 980\text{MeV}.$$

- **All Higher Order Corrections can in principle be Systematically Evaluated without Involving any Additional Parameters**
- **More Precise Measurement and Confirmation of the σ and κ Scalar Mesons will be a Direct Test to the Quantum Chiral Dynamics of Low Energy QCD for Mesons**
- **The Symmetry Breaking Mechanism may be applicable to Other Theories, Such as: Electroweak symmetry breaking , Symmetry breaking in MSMUM/ GUTs / SUSY**