Lecture 17

As a result of expressions like this, it is clear that it can be very useful, then, to consider the possibility of the angular frequency being considered to be a <u>complex variable</u>, rather than the real variable we have always considered it to be.

In other words, we can write a field

$$E(t) = E_0 e^{i\omega t}$$

Where now, not only are E and E_0 complex (which we do in order to account for phase), but

 ω is complex too. This <u>extension</u> of the definition of E is often called the "<u>complex analytic</u> <u>signal</u>" associated with the physical electric field.

Note how damping naturally comes in this formulation. Decompose ω into its real and imaginary parts.

$$\omega = \omega_r + i\Gamma$$

$$=> E(t) = E_0 e^{i(\omega_r + i1)t} = E_0 e^{-1t} e^{i\omega_r t}$$

As usual, the physically significant (i.e. measurable) part of E is the real part

$$\operatorname{Re}(E) = (\operatorname{Re}E_0)e^{-t}\cos(\omega_r t + \phi)$$

Clearly the <u>real part</u> of ω corresponds to the <u>frequency</u> of the harmonic oscillation, and the <u>imaginary part</u> corresponds to the temperal <u>damping</u> of the field. (or polarization)

Now that we are free to consider ω as a complex variable, we can go back to our integral (*) p.114. It will be instructive to use complex-variable integration methods to evaluate the integral.

Digression: A review of some important results in complex-function theory.

Complex variable $z = x + iy = re^{i\theta}$

Complex function f(z) Derivative: as usual

$$\frac{df}{dz} = \lim_{\Delta z \to 0} \frac{f(z + \Delta z) - f(z)}{\Delta z} = f'(z)$$



If the derivative exists in a region R of the complex plane, f is said to be <u>analytic</u> in R.

 $f(z_0)$ is analytic at z_0 if there is neighborhood $|z-z_0| < \delta$ at all points of which f'(z) exists.

Quite often, functions we are interested in fail to be analytic in a very specific way.

A point at which f fails to be analytic is called a singularity.

 z_0 is called an isolated singularity of f if we can find $\delta > 0$ such that the circle $|z - z_0| = \delta$ encloses no singular point other than z_0

A specific functional form containing an isolated singularity is $f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{z - z_0}$ Where g(z) is

analytic in a region containing z_0

A function of this form is said to have a <u>simple pole</u> of $z = z_0$

Def.: if
$$\lim_{z\to\Delta z} (z-z_0)^n f(z) = A \neq 0$$
,

then $z = z_0$ is called a pole of order n.

ex.
$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(z - z_0)(z - z_0')^3}$$

has a simple pole at z_0 and a third order pole at $z = z'_0$

It should be clear why functions like this are interesting in linear response theory. Look at the integrand in (*) p.114.

$$\frac{e^{i\omega t}}{(\omega - \omega_1)(\omega - \omega_2)} \text{ has simple poles at } \omega_1 \text{ and } \omega_2.$$

Physical significance of the poles:

Note that ω_1 and ω_2 are the (positive and negative) natural oscillation! (Go back and look at our free-field solution on P.81)

This is a general result: the poles of the response function gives the resonant frequencies of the system.

Back to mathematics:

If f(z) is analytic, the limit $f'(z) = \lim_{\Delta z \to 0} \frac{f(z + \Delta z) - f(z)}{\Delta z}$ exist . This is a <u>much more</u> restrictive condition than it is for real variables. The reason is that the limit must exist (and be the same) no matter which direction you approach the point z in the complex plane.

We will not prove the following result, but the main consequence of the analyticity condition



Suppose g(z) is analytic inside and on a simple closed curve C. Then

$$\oint_c g(z) dz = 0$$

Now let's consider integrals of functions with a simple pole.

Cauchy's integral formula

If f(z) is analytic inside and on a simple closed curve C and z_0 is any point inside C, then

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_c \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz$$

Where C is traversed in the positive (counter clockwise) sense



Note that the function $f(z)/(z-z_0)$ has a simple pole of z_0 .

Exercise for the reader: you should be able to show that Cauchy's theorem is a simple special case of this.

Heuristic "derivation" of the integral formula:

First, let's consider a consequence of Cauchy's Theorem.

If the integrand is analytic, then the integration curve C can be deformed (within the region of

analyticity) and one still has $\oint_c g(z)dz = 0$.

This may be seen by deforming the curve as follows



Clearly the integral along C_2 "down" cancels the integral along C_2 "up", so

$$\oint_c g(z)dz = -\oint_{c_1} g(z)dz$$

In fact, one can smoothly deform C without changing the value of the integral as long as the deformation does not cross any singularities of g(z). Now consider

$$g(z) = f(z)/(z-z_0)$$

Where f(z) is analytic everywhere (so g(z) has one simple pole).

Thus we can transform the integral $\oint_c g(z)dz = 0$ around an arbitrary curve C which endorses the pole to an integral around an infinitesimally small circle surrounding the pole :



Now, if f(z) is a sufficiently "smooth" function, then in the infinitesimally small region around z_0 defined by C', its value will be essentially constant, so

$$\oint_{c'} \frac{f(z)}{(z-z_0)} dz \simeq f(z_0) \oint_{c'} \frac{dz}{(z-z_0)}$$

By a change of variables $z' = z - z_0$, dz = dz', we have

$$f(z)\phi_{c'}\frac{dz}{(z-z_0)} = f(z_0)\phi_{c'}\frac{dz'}{z'}$$

Write $z' = \varepsilon e^{i\theta}$ where ε = radius of curve C'

$$dz' = i\varepsilon e^{i\theta} d\theta$$
$$f(z_0) \oint_{c'} \frac{dz'}{z'} = f(z_0) \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{i\varepsilon e^{i\theta} d\theta}{\varepsilon e^{i\theta}} = if(z_0) \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta = 2\pi i f(z_0)$$

Thus we find the Cauchy integral formula

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_c \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz$$

Again, this is a heuristic derivation, not a proof, but the result is straightforwardly proved in general.

Definition: If
$$g(z) = \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0}$$
 where f(z) is analytic, then $f(z_0) = (z - z_0)g(z_0)$ is called the

<u>residue</u> of g at z_0 .

Cauchy's integral formula is thus often recast as

$$\oint_c g(z) dz = 2\pi i \operatorname{Re} sg(z_0)$$

If the integrand has multiple poles inside C, e.g.

$$g(z) = \frac{f(z)}{(z - z_0)(z - z_1)}$$

Then since g is analytic everywhere except at z_0 , z_1 , C can be deformed as we have seen previous .



The integrations along the straight lines between the poles cancel (since the directions are opposite), so

$$\oint_c = \oint_{c_1} + \oint_{c_2}$$

Thus

$$\oint_{c} g(z)dz = 2\pi i \operatorname{Res} g(z_{0}) + 2\pi i \operatorname{Res} g(z_{1}) = \frac{2\pi i f(z_{0})}{z_{0} - z_{1}} + \frac{2\pi i f(z_{1})}{z_{1} - z_{0}}$$

In general, if g has multiple poles within C

$$\oint_c g(z) dz = 2\pi i \sum \text{Residues}$$

The proof is an extension of the argument above



This is actually all the complex-variable calculus we will need. We are trying to evaluate

$$\chi(t) = -\frac{\omega_p^2}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\omega t}}{(\omega - \omega_1)(\omega - \omega_2)} d\omega$$

Where $\omega_{1,2} = i \frac{\gamma}{2} \pm \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - \gamma^2 / 4}$

The integral has two simple poles in the <u>upper half</u> <u>plane</u>

Note that the integral we want is <u>along the real</u> axis from $\pm \infty$, i.e.

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\int_{-R}^{R}\frac{e^{i\omega t}}{(\omega-\omega_{1})(\omega-\omega_{2})}d\omega$$

We can evaluate the integral using Cauchy's integral formula if we pick the curve C wisely.

(1) Consider times $\underline{t < 0}$

Choose C to be a semicircle in the lower half plane: $C = C_1 + C_2$



Why choose this curve ? $\oint = \oint_{c_1} + \oint_{c_2}$

a) the integral we want is from -R to R along the real axis (with a minus sign from the direction)



b) along the semicircle, $\omega = Re^{i\theta}$, where θ runs from π to 2π

R is large $(\rightarrow \infty)$ so $|R| \gg |\omega_1|, |\omega_2|$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{C_1} = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{\pi}^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i(Re^{i\theta})t}}{R^2} \left(d\theta i R e^{i\theta} \right)$$
$$|\int_{C_1} | < \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{\pi}^{2\pi} \frac{|e^{i(Re^{i\theta})t}|}{R} d\theta$$
$$|e^{iRe^{i\theta}t}| = |e^{iR(\cos\theta + i\sin\theta)t}| = e^{-R\sin\theta t}$$

Now, when $\pi < \theta < 2\pi, -1 < \sin \theta < 0$

=>when t<0,
$$e^{-R\sin\theta t} \rightarrow 0$$
 as $R \rightarrow \infty$

Thus
$$\oint_{c_1} = 0$$

By Cauchy's Theorem $\oint_c = 0$ also, since there are no poles inside C

Therefore
$$\oint_{c_1} = 0$$
 also

Therefore $\chi(t) = 0$ for t< 0

This is exactly what causality requires!

The response function must be <u>zero</u> for negative times, and our harmonic oscillator solution has now been explicitly shown to satisfy this condition.

(2) consider times t> o

Clearly, we expect a nonzero result here. Now, we choose our curve in the <u>upper half</u> phase:



Now

$$\oint_{c} = 2\pi i \sum \operatorname{Re \ sidues}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\omega t}}{(\omega - \omega_{1})(\omega - \omega_{2})} d\omega + \int_{C1} = \left[\frac{e^{i\omega_{1}t}}{\omega_{1} - \omega_{2}} + \frac{e^{i\omega_{2}t}}{\omega_{2} - \omega_{1}} \right]$$

Using the same argument as before, (proof left for reader)

 $\int_{C1} \to 0$ as $R \to \infty$

=>

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\omega t}}{(\omega - \omega_{1})(\omega - \omega_{2})} d\omega = 2\pi i \frac{e^{i(\omega_{1} + \omega_{2})t/2}}{\omega_{1} - \omega_{2}} \left[e^{i(\omega_{1} - \omega_{2})t/2} - e^{-i(\omega_{1} - \omega_{2})t/2} \right] = -4\pi \frac{e^{i(\omega_{1} + \omega_{2})t/2}}{\omega_{1} - \omega_{2}} \left[\frac{e^{i(\omega_{1} - \omega_{2})t/2} - e^{-i(\omega_{1} - \omega_{2})t/2}}{2i} \right]$$

$$\omega_{1} - \omega_{2} = \left(i \frac{\gamma}{2} + \sqrt{\omega_{0}^{2} - \gamma^{2}/4} \right) - \left(i \frac{\gamma}{2} - \sqrt{\omega_{0}^{2} - \gamma^{2}/4} \right) = 2\sqrt{\omega_{0}^{2} - \gamma^{2}/4} = 2\upsilon_{0}$$
And
$$\frac{\omega_{1} + \omega_{2}}{2} = i \frac{\gamma}{2}$$

$$-> \qquad \chi(t) = \frac{\omega_{p}^{2}}{2\pi} \cdot 4\pi \cdot \frac{e^{-\gamma t/2}}{2\upsilon_{0}} \sin \upsilon_{0} t, t > 0$$

$$\boxed{\chi(t) = \frac{\omega_{p}^{2} \sin \upsilon_{0} t}{\upsilon_{0}} e^{-\gamma t/2} \theta(t)}$$

Where $\theta(t)$ = unit step function



Note that:

- (1) $\theta(t)$ guarantees causality
- (2) Damped oscillation (includes renormalized frequency)
- (3) amplitude proportional to $Ne^2 / \varepsilon_0 m$ as in frequency domain
- (4) it's a sine oscillation, not a cosine !

Of course, now that we have $\chi(t)$, the time-dependent polarization can be found for an arbitrary driving field E(t) via the convolution integral.